

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,113	08/04/2003	Philippe R. Gagnon	PG-1-gw	6389	
7590 08/25/2004			EXAMINER		
Michael I. Kroll			STORMER, RUSSELL D		
171 Stillwell Lane Syosset, NY 11791			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
2,0001, 111			3617	. <u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	ion No	Applicant(s)		$\hookrightarrow$		
				GAGNON, PHILIPPE R.				
Office Action Summary		10/634,1 Examine		Art Unit		<u> </u>		
	•		' ). Stormer	3617				
7	he MAILING DATE of this communi			L	dress			
Period for F	• •							
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI as of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 iod for reply is specified above, the maximum state or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months a latent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exunication. b) days, a reply within the stantutory period will apply and vwill, by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this considered timel (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□ R€	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on						
2a)∐ Th	nis action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is i	non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a) 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the a ) Of the above claim(s) is/a aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) <u>7-14</u> is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co	·					
Application	Papers							
9)[] Th	e specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
, —	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:	•						
	pplicant may not request that any object							
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) [] . 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority  Certified copies of the priority  Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat nents have been receiv lle 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of 3) Informat	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date	-	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/634,113 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Frederick.

Frederick teaches an axle tube 6, a collar 7, a spindle 8, and a cross connector

14 extending through apertures in each of the axle tube, the collar, and the spindle to

attach the spindle to the axle. Although a means for attaching the axle to the vehicle is

not shown, it is inherent that the axle would have to have a means for attaching to the

vehicle in order for the axle to be used.

3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Ebert.

The axle assembly of Ebert comprises an axle tube 20, a collar 90, a spindle 70,

and a means 102 for cross connecting the axle tube, the collar, and the spindle. The

means 102 is a weld which extends through apertures in each of the connected

members. See figures 8-11.

Although a means for attaching the axle to the vehicle is not shown, it is inherent

that such a means must be present in order to mount the axle to a vehicle.

٥

Application/Control Number: 10/634,113 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frederick in view Mogford et al.

Frederick does not show a pair of spring seats.

Mogford et al teaches the use of a pair of spring seats 8, 9 attached to an axle tube for mounting the axle to vehicle.

From this teaching it would have been obvious to provide a pair of spaced spring seats on the axle tube of Frederick in order to mount the axle to a vehicle and put the axle into use.

With respect to claims 5 and 6, Frederick further shows a means 8, 9 for attaching a wheel to the spindle, and as shown in figure 3, the components are tightly fitted together.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3617

## Conclusion

Page 4

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references show other axle assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell D. Stormer whose telephone number is (703) 308-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

STORMER 🥖

8/20/04